

Questions from Citizens

PQ1

Question to be asked on behalf of BC of the Portfolio Holder for Energy, Environment and Waste Services at the meeting of the City Council to be held on 9 May 2022

The threat of a nuclear war is greater than ever because of the Russian invasion of Ukraine and potential for NATO countries, including the UK to become involved. President Putin has threatened to use nuclear weapons in which case NATO would almost certainly strike back. Weapons much more powerful than those used in Hiroshima and Nagasaki would be involved resulting in immediate death, injury and destruction of buildings including hospitals. The after-effects include radiation poisoning, burns and cancers. Public services like water, power and sewerage would be destroyed and transport impossible. What emergency plans does Nottingham City Council have in preparation for a nuclear warhead explosion in the vicinity of Nottingham?

PQ2

Question to be asked on behalf of DC of the Portfolio Holder for Leisure, Culture and Schools at the meeting of the City Council to be held on 9 May 2022

Following the recent announcement to consult on the closure of three historic community branch libraries, I, as a Nottingham citizen and member of the Save Nottingham Libraries campaign, am asking for additional information and transparency regarding the decision making stage including:

- What are the next steps in the decision-making process?
- What are the approximate timescales involved for each stage in the process.
- Specifically, how long is the data analysis of the 2000+ survey responses going to take?
- Do the slight amendments to the original consultation survey influence the data results?
- In the spirit of transparency, will the Council publish a full report and Executive Summary outlining the total number of survey returns received during the consultation period, highlighting the main option preferences favoured by respondents?
- How will the final decision regarding the library review be made and publicised?

Question to be asked on behalf of DC of the Portfolio Holder for Leisure, Culture and Schools at the meeting of the City Council to be held on 9 May 2022

The Government, via the 'Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities' has recently announced that it is opening the bidding process for the next round of its Levelling Up funding, which is being made available to all Local Authorities across England. The £4.8 billion fund will support town centre and high street regeneration, local transport projects, and most importantly here, cultural and heritage assets. The government prospectus states the following in relation to the cultural investment strand: "maintaining, regenerating, or creatively repurposing existing cultural, creative, heritage and sporting assets ... including theatres, museums, galleries, production facilities, libraries, visitor attractions ... heritage buildings and sites, and assets that support the visitor economy." I, as a Nottingham Citizen and member of the Save Nottingham Libraries campaign, would ask whether Nottingham City Council could provide a rationale for why it would or would not make a bid for these funds to help save the three historic branch libraries currently earmarked for closure.

Question to be asked on behalf of JK of the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Planning and Heritage at the meeting of the City Council to be held on 9 May 2022

How does the Council police its agents Nottingham City Homes with regard to the health and safety of residents? In one building alone containing 14 flats Nottingham City Homes failed to respond to several incidents which could impact the health and safety of residents in a timely manner. An example is detailed below, there are others, all of which are factually accurate, residents have documentary and pictorial evidence. Nottingham City Homes have issued a charter to all residents stating "Safety is our top priority" and they will "Prioritise any contact that may have a building safety implication". It appears that Nottingham City Homes put safety of the residents a very poor second to its propaganda.

The example is below:

- Dangerously damaged manhole covers in a common access area in constant use – It was not prioritised and not deal with for over 3 months.

You as the Landlord maybe held liable if a resident or visitor was injured.